

D. Dickerson comments on July 2017 draft Aerovox Interim Cap FSP

7/28/2017

1. Section 3.1.2.1: data gap PCB IA sampling is proposed for the top 6” of the existing sediment and the bottom 6” of the native/gray marine sediment. [Note the typo in the text, should be 0.5 feet, not 0.5 inches.] Given the field effort involved, and the cost-effective IA PCB analyses, recommend collecting additional samples at each of the 13 locations for PCB IA: perhaps characterizing each foot interval of sample (i.e., 0-1’, 1-2’, 2-3’) in addition to the top and bottom currently proposed.
2. Section 3.2.1.2, 4th sentence: The correct figure to reference here should be Figure 2-2 or 2-3, not Figure 3-3 which doesn’t show any monitoring wells. Also, I could not find MW-7, MW-19S and MW-17D depicted on Figure 2-2 (or any other figure).
3. Section 3.3.1: suggest including a rationale for location of the three sonic borings. The northern-most boring ASB-36 seems like it might be near the edge of the interim cap, i.e., too far north? Unless there’s a reason that’s not yet explained.
4. Section 3.3.2.1: typo – delete the very first word “Previous”.
5. Section 3.3.2.2, sentence just prior to the bullets: typo - change “were” to “will be”.
6. Page 3-12: remove the bolded text if not needed.
7. Section 3.5, 2nd sentence: “...laboratory tests *will be performed* in column(s) containing...”
8. Section 3.5, 3rd paragraph, 3rd sentence: “The collector works *to collect* gas bubbles within the funnel.”
9. Section 3.5, 4th paragraph, 1st sentence: delete the parenthetical at the end of this sentence.

END